Water quality assessment and geochemical processes in the unconfined coastal Boa Viagem Aquifer, Recife, NE Brazil

RESUMO

Um estudo hidroquímico foi realizado no Aquífero Quaternário, em Recife, Brasil. Amostras de água subterrânea foram coletadas em marçoabril de 2015, no início da estação chuvosa. Gráficos convencionais, razões iônicas, índices de saturação, mapeamento GIS e análises geoestatísticas e estatísticas multivariadas foram usados para avaliação da qualidade da água e para caracterizar os principais processos hidroquímicos que controlam a química das águas subterrâneas. A análise de agrupamento hierárquico/modo O separou as amostras em três agrupamentos e cinco subconjuntos de acordo com suas semelhanças hidroquímicas e fácies. A Análise de Componentes Principais (PCA) foi empregada para as amostras de água subterrânea estudadas, onde um modelo de três fatores explica 80% da variação total dentro do conjunto de dados. Os resultados da PCA revelaram a influência da intrusão de água do mar, interação água-rocha e contaminação por nitrato. Os parâmetros físico-químicos de aproximadamente 30% das águas subterrâneas excedem as diretrizes da Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS) para a qualidade da água potável. O nitrato foi encontrado em uma concentração > 10 mg NO₃⁻/L em ~ 21% dos poços e excedeu os valores de referência da OMS em um. A abordagem integrada indica a ocorrência dos principais processos hidrogeoquímicos que ocorrem no aquífero marinho raso ao aluvial como segue: 1) purificação progressiva da paleo-água remanescente do mar acompanhando troca catiônica em sedimentos finos, 2) interação águarocha (ou seja, dissolução de silicatos) e 3) contaminação pontual e difusa de águas residuais e dissolução de sulfato. Este estudo destaca com sucesso o uso de métodos geoquímicos clássicos, técnicas de GIS e análises estatísticas multivariadas (cluster hierárquico e análises de componentes principais) como ferramentas complementares para compreender os processos hidrogeoquímicos e sua influência no estado da qualidade da água subterrânea para ações de gestão, que poderiam ser usadas em aquíferos costeiros aluviais.

Palavras-chave: Aquífero marinho-aluvial Boa Viagem, avaliação da qualidade da água, processos hidrogeoquímicos, análises estatísticas multivariadas, análise GIS

ABSTRACT

A hydrochemical study was conducted on the Quaternary Aquifer, in Recife, Brazil. Groundwater samples were collected in March–April 2015, at the beginning of the rainy season. Conventional graphics, ionic ratios, saturation indices, GIS mapping, and geostatistical and multivariate statistical analyses were used to water quality assessment and to characterize the main hydrochemical processes controlling groundwater's chemistry. Q-mode hierarchical cluster analysis separated the samples into three clusters and five sub-clusters according to their hydrochemical similarities and facies. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was employed to the studied groundwater samples where a three-factor model explains 80% of the total variation within the dataset. The PCA results

Thyego R. Silva^{*1,2} Mariucha M. Correia de Lima^{1,2} Teresa E. Leitão³ Tiago Nunes Martins^{3,4} Mateus S. Cezar de Albuquerque ¹

¹ Agência Pernambucana de Águas e Clima Av. Cruz Cabugá 1111, Santo Amaro Recife PE Brasil CEP 50040-000

² Universidade Federal de Pernambuco Departamento de Geologia/NEG-LABISE Av. Acadêmico Hélio Ramos s/n Cidade Universitária, Recife PE Brasil CEP 50740-550

 ³ Laboratório Nacional de Engenharia Civil Departamento de Hidráulica e Ambiente Avenida do Brasil, 101 Lisboa Portugal ¹⁷⁰⁰⁻⁰⁶⁶
 ⁴ Universidade de Lisboa Instituto Superior Técnico Av. Rovisco Pais, Nº 1

Lisboa Portugal 1049-001

*Corresponding author rthyego@yahoo.com

Copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.



revealed the influence of seawater intrusion, water-rock interaction, and nitrate contamination. The physico-chemical parameters of ~30% groundwaters exceed the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines for drinking water quality. Nitrate was found at a concentration >10 mg NO_3^{-}/L in ~21% of the wells and exceeded WHO reference values in one. The integrated approach indicates the occurrence of the main major hydrogeochemical processes occurring in the shallow marine to alluvial aquifer as follow: 1) progressive freshening of remaining paleo-seawater accompanying cation exchange on fine sediments, 2) water-rock interaction (i.e., dissolution of silicates), and 3) point and diffuse wastewater contamination, and sulfate dissolution. This study successfully highlights the use of classical geochemical methods, GIS techniques, and multivariate statistical analyses (hierarchical cluster and principal component analyses) as complementary tools to understand hydrogeochemical processes and their influence on groundwater quality status to management actions, which could be used in similar alluvial coastal aquifers.

Keywords: Marine-alluvial Boa Viagem aquifer, water quality assessment, hydrogeochemical processes, multivariate statistical analyses, GIS analysis

1 INTRODUCTION

The world's population is growing by about 80 million people per year (UNESCO 2015). This dramatic fast growth in population is usually accompanied by changes in soil and land use as well as increased water demand for human and animal consumption, agriculture, industries, etc. (BORETTI; ROSA, 2019). This increase is first met by surficial water reserves, which no longer are sufficient to meet the new needs, either by insufficient quantity or quality of waters that are more vulnerable to anthropic contamination. Water supply usually relies on groundwater, the most valuable long-term reserve mainly in arid and semi-arid areas, supporting human life by providing two billion people with drinking water and food production (e.g. ALLAN, 1998; JASECHKO et al., 2014). Therefore, the exploitation of groundwaters resources requires a thorough knowledge of hydrogeology and hydrogeochemistry for the integrated management and sustainable use (CUSTODIO, 2010). In such a way, groundwater should be protected to maintain highquality and quantity levels, as well as to regulate exploited volume (e.g. ALMEIDA et al., 2016).

One of the most important processes which decrease groundwater quality around the world is salinization primarily associated with marine sources (*e.g.* marine transgressions, seawater and flooding trapping, marine spray). Secondary processes have been attributed to continental sources evaporation, (e.g. dissolution, etc.) and anthropogenic activities (*e.g.* return irrigation flows, sewage infiltration) (VENGOSH; ROSENTHAL, 1994; BIANCHI-NI et al., 2005; CUSTODIO, 2010; CRUZ-

FUENTES *et al.*, 2014; LADESMA-RUIZ *et al.*, 2015; MIRZAVAND *et al.*, 2020 and references therein).

The chemical signature imprinted on groundwater in sedimentary basins is largely influenced by long-term hydrological processes (*e.g.* MOYA *et al.*, 2015). Understanding the main constraining hydrochemical processes (such as seawater intrusion, water-rock interactions, ion-exchange, water mixing, dissolution, and precipitation, etc.) operating in groundwater is crucial to managing these resources (*e.g.* MONTETY *et al.*, 2008; CARTWRIGHT *et al.*, 2008; CLOUTIER *et al.*, 2010; MONJEREZI *et al.*, 2011; MOYA *et al.*, 2015; HAN *et al.*, 2011; LI *et al.*, 2018).

Multivariate statistical analysis techniques, such as principal component analysis (PCA) and hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), have been widely used to assess the main hydrochemical processes operating in groundwater (GÜLER et al., 2004, 2012; CLOUTIER et al., 2008; KAZAKIS et al., 2017; RAKOTONDRABE et al., 2018; SILVA et al., 2021 to cite few). Multivariate statistical associations do not establish cause and consequence (GÜLER et al., 2002). However, statistical techniques reveal relationships between the data components, so that important information may be retained, while noise is discarded (MENCIÓ; MAS-PLA, 2008: MOYA et al., 2015; JOLLIFFE; CADIMA, 2016), which assist in generating hypothesis for the interpretation of hydrochemical processes (GÜLER et al., 2002). The statistical techniques combined with classical geochemical methods have been shown a long time as an objective means to classify and interpret complex datasets (GÜLER *et al.*, 2002).

In this paper, hydrochemical data (1) was used to assess the water quality status of the Quaternary Aquifer (also known as Boa Viagem aquifer; COSTA *et al.*, 1994) at Recife,

2 STUDY AREA

2.1 GENERAL FEATURES

The study area is located in the Recife municipality, Northeastern Brazil, covering an area of 218 km² along the Atlantic Ocean. Recife has a hot and tropical climate, with an annual average rainfall of circa 2400 mm (VISEU *et al.*, 2016; data from 1984 to 2013) where two marked seasons are identified; the rainy season lasts from March to August (average 230 mm/month) and the dry period lasts from September to February (average 68 mm/month). At the Recife station (INMET–

2.2 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The sediments deposited at the Recife coastal plain are highly heterogeneous in terms of lithology and dominated of intercalated fossiliferous-rich sandstones. shales, mudstones. siltstones. which overlying indistinctly the formations from the Pernambuco and Paraíba basins (e.g. Cabo and Beberibe formations), developed on Quaternary alluvial-estuarine shallow marine to environments (Fig. 1). They comprise beachridge terraces of Pleistocene (120,000 years B.P.) and Holocene (5,100 years B.P.) age associated with the penultimate and ultimate transgressions that reach maximums of 8-10, and 5 meters above the current sea level (m.a.s.l), respectively, as well lagoonal deposits (4,400-8,300 years B.P.), sandstone and coral reefs with ages spanning from 1770 to 5170 years B.P, and finally alluvial, freshwater

3 MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 PHYSICAL-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

A hydrochemical campaign was carried out in 2015 at end of the dry season in the wells installed in Boa Viagem Aquifer. All samples were collected directly at the wellhead after pumping of three well volumes of water in the well casing to obtain stationary conditions ensuring representative samples. Some wells have long screened intervals, and, therefore, 58 Brazil, and; (2) to unravel the main hydrochemical processes operating on through using classical geochemical methods (Such as Piper, Stiff, Gibbs, HFE-D, ionic ratios, etc.) integrated with multivariate statistical analyses (PCA and HCA).

PE), the mean annual temperature is 25.9 °C presenting a maximum value that reaches 30.9 °C in March and a minimum value of 20.9 °C in August. The area is characterized by many rivers where the Beberibe and Capibaribe, which flow from West to East, are the most relevant regionally. A semidiurnal mesotidal regime with tides up to 2.7 m (BRAZILIAN NAVY, 2020) occurs being tide penetration recorded in the main rivers up to 12 km (PAIVA, 2004).

swamp, and mangrove swamp deposits of Holocene age (DOMINGUEZ et al., 1990; SUGUIO et al., 2013). At the Boa Viagem beach, where these sediments are better individualized the corresponding aquifer was named "Boa Viagem" by Costa et al. (1994, 1998). This aquifer occurs under unconfined conditions with low to moderate hydraulic properties (median vield of 5 m³/h: COSTA et al., 1998, 2002). The study area is densely populated and the natural recharge areas for the Boa Viagem Aquifer have been limited (PAIVA et al., 2015) due to impermeabilization tied to urban expansion. The average depth of this aquifer is about 40 m. It is largely used for domestic supply, due to the low distance to the groundwater table and the low well drilling costs.

water samples are from a relatively large section of the aquifer. Groundwater samples were collected in HDPE bottles washed with the water well and filled to overflowing.

Water analyses were carried out according to the standard procedures of APHA (2012) and EPA (2007). Measurements of electrical conductivity (EC) and pH were conducted following 2510 B and 4500 B methods, respectively. The amount of total dissolved solids (TDS) was determined gravimetrically by evaporation. Alkalinity was shortly measured after sampling by titration and Gran's method (APHA 2320B). SO_4^{2-} was determined by the turbidimetric method (4500-SO₄ D). NO_3^- , Fe, and F– were determined by spectrophotometric method (4500-NO₃ B, 3500-Fe B, and 4500-F D, respectively) whereas Cl⁻ was determined by iodometric method (4500-Cl B). Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and TH (total hardness) analyses were performed by titration method (3500-Ca B, 3500-Mg B, and 2340 C, respectively). Na⁺ and

K⁺ by Flame Atomic Emission Spectrometry (3500-Na B and 3500-K B). Ba²⁺ was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) method (6010 C). The electro-neutrality parameter of analysis $(100*[\Sigma z. mc - \Sigma z.ma]/[\Sigma z.mc + \Sigma z.ma];$ where z is the ionic valence, and mc and ma the molarity of cationic and anionic species, respectively (FREEZE; CHERRY 1979: APPELO; POSTMA 2005) was less than or equal to $\pm 5\%$ for 74% of the samples. 26% of samples had electro-neutrality between 5 and 10% with an anionic deficit. Chemical analyses are portrayed in Table 1.



Figure 1

Geologic map of the studied area showing the sample sites.

3.2 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All data were subjected to multivariate statistical analyses (MSA) using the statistical software package SPSS 20 for Windows® (SPSS Corp., USA). Eleven variables were used in the evaluation (pH, EC, TDS, Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , Na⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, HCO₃⁻, and NO₃⁻). Data

screening showed that the data are highly skewed positively (except pH). Therefore, the data were log-transformed so that they correspond more closely to normally distributed data. Finally, all the variables were standardized by calculating their standard scores (z(i)-scores = (xi - x)/s; where z(i) = standard score of the sample i; xi = sample value i; x = mean; s = standard deviation). Two well-proven MSA were performed in the studied physicalchemical variables. Principal component analysis (PCA) and Q-mode Hierarchical Custer Analysis (HCA) were used, respectively, to data reduction revealing the most important components contributing to the data structure responsible for the total variance within the data

3.3 GIS AND GEOSTATISTICAL ANALYSIS

ArcGIS software (v. 10.6) was used to perform GIS analysis and geostatistical modeling. The inverse distance weighting (IDW) model for geospatial interpolation was employed and geostatistical surfaces were validated by testing an ordinary Kriging model, which offered similar results. Besides, IDW is one of the most applied and deterministic

3.4 SATURATION INDICES

Saturation Indices [SI = log (IAP/K), where IAP is the ion activity and K equilibrium constant] was computed to calcite, aragonite, dolomite, gypsum, anhydrite, and halite using

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 HYDROCHEMICAL DATA: GENERAL CHARACTERIZATION

Table 2 summarizes the statistical variation of the analyzed parameters, which are shown in Fig. 2, while Fig. 3 refers to their geographical distribution. The variations of physical variables show that pH values ranged from 5.3 to 7.8 (acid to slightly alkaline) with four samples below the permissible limit for drinking water described by the World Health Organization standards (WHO 1996, 2011). Color and turbidity are relatively related to each other and the highest values of one associated with the other. They varied from 3 to 50 TCU (true color unit) and 0.2 to 99.3 NTU (nephelometric turbidity units), with five and six samples above WHO guidelines, respectively. EC varied from 179 to 1406 µS/cm with only one sample above the permissible limits (sample 3460; EC = 4.128 μ S/cm) for human consumption (average 703 μ S/cm; std deviation = 824 μ S/cm). TDS ranged from 98 to 2,363 mg/L (average 413 mg/L; std deviation = 473). Only one sample presents TDS above WHO standards. Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, TH, and K⁺ varied from 3.2 to 76.8, 1 to 54.7, 16 to 345 mg/L, and 2.3 to 23.8 mg/L, respectively, 60

(e.g. HELENA et al., 2000; LIU et al., 2003; JOLLIFFE; CADIMA 2016), and to partitioning the groundwaters into sites groups (clusters) presenting similar characteristics (e.g. GÜLER et al., 2002; SINGH et al., 2004; CLOUTIER et al., 2008). HCA was set by Ward's method and the similarities among samples were measured by the Euclidean distance.

interpolation techniques in sciences (*e.g.* SINGH *et al.*, 2017; RAKOTONDRABE *et al.*, 2018), which is based on nearby known locations and use the inverse of its distance from the interpolation point and is relatively simpler to apply when compared with other interpolators.

the AQUACHEM PHREEQC interface (WATERLOO HYDROGEOLOGIC, INC; PARKHURST; APPELO, 2013) to check if precipitation could occur.

all of these within WHO limits. Na⁺ shows values varying from 18.2 to 736 mg/L, with a mean of 95.77 and a standard deviation of 149.22. Two samples have fallen above the WHO permissible limit. Cl⁻ ranged from 21.3 to 1,075 mg/L (mean of 137 mg/L; std dev = 222.55) and only three samples crossed the WHO threshold. HCO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} ranged from 2.9 to 271 and 0.06 to 196.9 mg/L, respectively. NO_3^- varied from 0.06 to 207 mg/L (median: 2.21). 100 % of samples are within the WHO limit for $HCO_3^ SO_4^{2-}$, and NO_3^- , except to NO_3^- (short-term exposure = 50 mg/L; WHO 2011) in one sample (#ND8-). A guideline value of 0.2 mg/L for nitrate ion associated with longterm exposure was derived in the addendum to the WHO Guidelines, which we take as threshold evidence that the studied groundwaters exceed. The few groundwater samples analyzed for F^- and Ba^{2+} varied from 0.19 to 0.86 and 0.06 to 0.53 mg/L, respectively, within the WHO limit. Finally. Fe ranged from 0.01 to 2.7 mg/L (mean 0.44; std dev = 0.75), with six samples exceeding the WHO guideline value. At levels exceeding 0.3 mg/L, iron in water causes stains plumbing fixtures and laundry. High color values in some wells are related to high Fe contents and due to the presence of

4.2 HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS

Q-mode HCA was applied to the 23 studied samples. The output dendrogram was analyzed and based on a visual observation a phenon line was drawn at a linkage distance of 7 (re-scaled distance). It resulted in the identification of three clusters and five sub-clusters (Fig.4; Table 3). TDS represents a distinguishing factor among these clusters/sub-clusters. Cluster 1b samples present the lowest TDS values (average 121 mg/L) followed by Cluster 1a (average 185 mg/L). Cluster 2 samples have intermediate TDS values (average 300 mg/L) followed by clusters 3a with an average TDS of 594 mg/L up to cluster 3b samples that present the highest TDS values (average 1186 mg/L). Furthermore, the mean concentration Stiff diagram for each cluster/sub-cluster evidence the good results

4.3 PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Factor analysis was applied to the eleven selected variables providing satisfactory results. The Kaiser, Meyer, Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy shows a value of 0.670 that is statistically significant and Bartlett's sphericity test carried out on the correlation matrix reveals a calculated $\chi^2 = 296$ with a significance < 0.000 and 55 degrees of freedom [df], thus evidencing that PCA can achieve a significant reduction of the dimensionality of the original data set. A varimax rotation was used to "clean up" the main parameters (maximize the variance, e.g. HELENA et al., 2000) influencing the variation, and the scree plot and the Kaiser criterion (KAISER, 1958) were used to retain only factor components with eigenvalues higher than one. The principal components explain 80.40% of the variance. The first three components explain 39.85%, 21.56%, and 18.99% of the variance. respectively. Component 1 explains the majority of the variance and is marked by strong positive loadings on Cl⁻, Na²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, EC, and TDS. Component 2 is characterized by strong positive loadings on HCO₃⁻ and pH.

colored organic matter (primarily humic and fulvic acids) associated with the humus fraction of soil and lithology (*e.g.* WHO 2011).

facies rendering water with distinct geochemical characteristics (Fig. 4), thus achieving the purpose of the Q-mode HCA (e.g. GÜLER et al., 2004; CLOUTIER et al., 2008; WANG et al., 2018). In a similar approach to that of Güler et al. (2002, 2004) the defined cluster/sub-clusters of samples were plotted on a map to test the relationship between the statistically defined clusters of samples and geographic location and showed good correspondence between spatial locations and the statistical groups as determined by the HCA (Fig. 5). The close proximity to one sample to each other suggests common processes and/or flowpaths for these clusters of samples (e.g. THYNE *et al.*, 2004).

Finally, component 3 shows highly positive loadings on NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} and moderate positive loading for Ca^{2+} . Table 4 presents the results of the PCA with the loadings, eigenvalues, and associated variance. A map showing the spatial distribution of PC1, PC2, and PC3 scores is presented in Fig. 6. High scores (i.e., values ranging from 0.39 to 2.65, 0.25 to 1.80, and 0.08 to 2.61, respectively) are generally observed at the coastal part of the study area for PC1, and from east to west in the central part of Recife for both PC2 and PC3.

Component 1 has been defined as the "salinity" component due to the association of the major solutes in groundwater. The highest loadings of chloride, which is a conservative tracer and is not altered by water-rock interaction indicate that this component (#1) is mainly related to marine sources. Recife is located next to the Atlantic Ocean suggesting that Cl^- origin may be attributed to sea-level variations. This interpretation is reinforced by the higher positive correlation between Cl^- and Na⁺ (r = 0.93; at p-level < 0.000), which are the major ionic species in seawater.

Matrix Image Image Matrix Matrix <th></th> <th>L)</th> <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>_</th> <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>1</th> <th>6)</th> <th>_</th> <th>1</th> <th>5</th> <th>_</th> <th>5</th> <th>5</th> <th>C1</th> <th>_</th> <th>_</th> <th>C</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th></th>		L)	5	5	5	5	_	5	5	1	6)	_	1	5	_	5	5	C 1	_	_	C		2	
Math Lamid Lamid <thl< td=""><td></td><td>Fe (mg/</td><td>0.0:</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0:</td><td>0.0:</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0:</td><td>0.0</td><td>1.2</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0:</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0:</td><td>2.2</td><td>1.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.2</td><td>0.4</td><td>2.7</td><td></td></thl<>		Fe (mg/	0.0:	0.0	0.0:	0.0:	0.1	0.0	0.0:	0.0	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.0:	0.1	0.0	0.0:	2.2	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.4	2.7	
Molto Lamine Deprind fraces Molto Test Molto		Ba (mg/L)	i.		ı	,	'	,	ı		0.22	'	'	,	,	ı.	ı	0.09	0.53	0.29	0.06	0.25	0.07	0.07
Molity Image Degrade Degrade <thdegrade< th=""> <thdegrade< th=""> <thdegr< td=""><td></td><td>F (mg/L)</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>0.26</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>,</td><td>0.86</td><td>0.27</td><td>0.76</td><td>0.27</td><td>0.19</td><td>0.64</td><td>0 87</td></thdegr<></thdegrade<></thdegrade<>		F (mg/L)	,	,	,	,	,	,	,		0.26	,	,	,	,		,	0.86	0.27	0.76	0.27	0.19	0.64	0 87
Molity Indust Degret Sample Fit Control Molity Molity <td></td> <td>TH (mg/L)</td> <td>40.1</td> <td>16.2</td> <td>16.1</td> <td>60.2</td> <td>4</td> <td>44.2</td> <td>20.2</td> <td>44.3</td> <td>49.4</td> <td>27.3</td> <td>49.4</td> <td>200</td> <td>150</td> <td>112</td> <td>49</td> <td>123.4</td> <td>162.8</td> <td>148</td> <td>78.8</td> <td>93.7</td> <td>344.9</td> <td>230.7</td>		TH (mg/L)	40.1	16.2	16.1	60.2	4	44.2	20.2	44.3	49.4	27.3	49.4	200	150	112	49	123.4	162.8	148	78.8	93.7	344.9	230.7
Molity Lange Despined teach Sample In Control North		NO3 ⁻ (mg/L) (2.21	2.21	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	12.6	4.3	1	17.31	2.21	4.65	8.85	0.44	2.6	4	11.1	7	5.5	1.5	2 200
Method Laginal Depth of eccessi Supple A Control of Second Supple		HCO3 ⁻ mg/L)	61	24.4	48.8	85.4	107.1	98.8	64.7	14.7	51.3	26.9	19.5	97.6	61	18.5	41.5	195.4	268.7	212.5	146.5	7.76	271.1	ç
Molity Latitude Latitude <thlatitude< th=""> Latitude <</thlatitude<>		SO4 ²⁻] mg/L) (0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.1	0.06	0.1	1	9	6	19	107.5	95.2	91.4	28.35	6.3	13.5	17	53.4	7.9	197	5
Meth Langtude Deprind versely constant Sample Fer Constant Sample Fer Constant Meth		CI ⁻ mg/L) (55	68	49.7	50.4	49.6	21.3	35.5	52	30	30.5	41	40	78	50	56.8	80	130	122.5	180	375	1075	010
Meth Lange Lange Sample Part Control Lange Control Contro Contro Contro		K ⁺ (mg/L) (7.8	7.8	11.7	7.8	11.7	3.9	3.9	7.8	5.1	5.5	6.2	7.8	7.8	11.7	3.9	2.3	٢	6	9.4	10.9	21.8	(1
Weilly Latitude Langitude Dependent section Sample PH Color Teth Missing EC Teth Missing Mage 1436 680413012 34*3500347 15-25 (27) 18.04/2015 53 3		Na ⁺ mg/L) (41.4	46	41.4	46	46	36.8	41.4	22.3	18.2	20.9	23.7	46	46	34.5	43.7	73.6	122.8	100.3	160.1	236.9	736	
Well ID Latitude Longitude Depth of science Sample PH Curr Turbidity EC TBS Curr 143 08904137.0° 34*5847.3°° 15-53 (27) 18.042015 54 3 315 185 144 769 0890410.02° 34*5870.37° 15-23 (27) 18.042015 59 8 4 250 140 32 769 0890410.02° 34*5379.34° -1.50 20042015 63 8 3 370 231 203 380 080010101° 34*5379.34° -1.60 28042015 61 1 5 23 201 29 4 4400 080010101° 34*5379.34° -1.600 20042015 61 10 73 203 </td <td></td> <td>Ag²⁺ 1g/L) (</td> <td>1</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>-</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>8.4</td> <td>9</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>9</td> <td>7</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>9.6</td> <td>9.2</td> <td>6.8</td> <td>7.2</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>54.7</td> <td></td>		Ag ²⁺ 1g/L) (1	5	1	7	-	5	5	8.4	9	2.4	9	7	1.5	-	1	9.6	9.2	6.8	7.2	3.2	54.7	
Well ID Latitude Longitude Depth of well) (m) Sample best processor Sample best processor Randing best processor		(L) (T	4.	5	8	<i>8</i> 9	4	4.	8	6	6		6	<i>8</i> 9	9.	5	4	9	.6 1	.6	Ľ.	.8	.1 5	
Well IDLatitudeLongitudeDepth of screenSamplePHColorTurbitityE1436 $08^{W437}(69^{V})$ $34^{SS}8477.39^{V}$ $15.25\ (27)$ 18.042015 6.4 3 3 31.5 118.047 1436 $08^{W437}(69^{V})$ $34^{SS}8477.39^{V}$ $15.25\ (27)$ 18.042015 6.4 3 3 31.5 118.047 1436 $08^{W417}(69^{V})$ $34^{SS}8477.39^{V}$ $15.20\ (20)$ 28.042015 6.7 3 3 32.0 13.5 2655 $08^{W019}(10)^{11}$ $34^{SS}379.34^{V}$ $4.8\ (8)$ 27.042015 6.7 11 8 3 37.0 23.1 3686 $08^{W019}(10)^{11}$ $34^{SS}321.99^{V}$ $-(16)$ 28.042015 6.7 11 8 3 37.0 23.1 3686 $08^{W019}(10)^{11}$ $34^{SS}321.99^{V}$ $-(16)$ 28.042015 6.7 11 8 3 37.0 23.1 3786 $08^{W01}(10)^{11}$ $34^{SS}321.39^{V}$ $-(16)$ 20.042015 5.3 5.7 10.7 23.6 3786 $08^{W01}(10)^{11}$ $34^{SS}323.38^{V}$ $142.6\ (70)$ 20.402015 5.7 11.5 22.6 13.7 3646 $08^{W01}(10)^{W}$ $34^{SW}(10)^{W}$ 20.203015 $34^{SW}(10)^{W}$ $20.20323.723.723.7378638^{SW}(10)^{W}24^{SW}(10)^{W}24^{SW}(10)^{W}26^{SW}(10)^{W}26^{SW}(10)^{W}26^{SW}(10)^{W}26^{SW}(10$		S Ca L) (mg	5 14.	3.	4.	20.	1 57) 14.	4	3.	.6	L	9.6	5 76.) 57.) 43.	5	33.) 33.	5 31.	. 19.	15.	3 48.	t
Well ID Lattlude Longitude Depth of well) (m) Dample PH Turbidity Lettlidity Lattlidity Lattlidity Lattlidity Lattlidity Depth of well) (m) Dample PH Turbidity Lettlidity Lattlidity Respectively (m) Sample PH Color Curbitity Respectively (m) Sample PH Color Curbitity Lettlidity Sample PH Color Sample Sample PH Color Sample	-	TD9 (mg/	185	140	159	231	244	18(155	119	131	98	136	385	350	26(203	409	610	526	610	817	236	ļ
Well ID Langitude Longitude (metal) (m), batic Mample product Mample product Mample product Mample product Mample product Mathidity (MTU) 1436 08°04337.60° 34°5847.339° 15-25 (27) 18.0442015 5.9 8 4 1436 08°04334.7 34°5600.37° 15-20 (20) 28/04/2015 5.9 8 4 14206 08°00394.4° 34°5500.34° 15-20 (20) 28/04/2015 5.9 8 4 20535 08°0239.4° 34°5503.3° 15-20 (20) 28/04/2015 6.0 9 3 3808 08°010101 34°5540.80° -(16) 28/04/2015 6.7 11 5 11457 08°0733.58° 34°55423.32° 12-20 (20) 30/04/2015 6.3 6 3 3808 08°1018.58° 34°55423.33° 12-20 (20) 30/04/2015 6.3 6 3 3814 08°1018.574° 34°55423.33° 12-20 (20) 30/04/2015 6.3 6 3 1)	· EC (μ S/ci	315	250	230	370	370	295	260	279	233	179	262	560	710	450	330	633	924	803	1022	1406	4128	
Well IDLatitudeLongitudeDepth of screen Depth of well) (m)SamplePHColor1436 $8^{\circ}04^{\circ}37/6^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}58^{\circ}47.3^{\circ}$ $15.25(27)$ $8^{\circ}0442015$ 6.4 3 769 $8^{\circ}04^{\circ}10_{0}2^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}58^{\circ}0_{0}3^{\circ}4^{\circ}$ $15.25(20)$ $8^{\circ}0442015$ 6.9 8° 4206 $8^{\circ}00^{\circ}39_{0}4^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}56^{\circ}0_{0}3^{\circ}$ $15^{\circ}52^{\circ}(20)$ $8^{\circ}0442015$ 6.7 11 2635 $8^{\circ}00^{\circ}39_{0}4^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}56^{\circ}0_{3}3^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}8^{\circ}8^{\circ}0^{\circ}20^{\circ}3^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}8^{\circ}8^{\circ}0^{\circ}20^{\circ}3^{\circ}$ $8^{\circ}8^{\circ}2333.5^{\circ}8^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3^{\circ}3$		Turbidity (NTU)	3	4	3	ŝ	б	ŝ	3	0.2	7.3	ı	ı	ŝ	15	4	3	34.5	99.3	62	S	3.3	39.4	
Well ID Latitude Longitude Longitude Sample PH 1436 08°04'37.69' 34°S8'47.39' 15-25 (27) 18/04/2015 6.4 769 08°04'10.02'' 34°S9'07.04'' -(26) 20/04/2015 5.9 4206 08°04'10.02'' 34°S3'29.34'' -(26) 20/04/2015 6.6 2633 08°02'03.47'' 34°S3'29.34'' +8 (8) 27/04/2015 6.7 3808 08°01'01.01'' 34°S3'29.34'' +8 (8) 27/04/2015 6.7 3808 08°01'01.01'' 34°S3'29.34'' +4.8 (8) 27/04/2015 6.7 1157 08°02'03.358'' 34°S3'21.99'' -(16) 28/04/2015 6.7 1157 08°02'03.58'' 34°S3'23.32'' 12-20 (20) 20/04/2015 6.7 1146 08°01'146.89'' 34°S3'33.32'' 12-20 (20) 20/04/2015 6.7 11475 08°01'146.89'' 34°S3'33.32'' 12-20 (20) 20/04/2015 6.7 1148 08°01'146.89'' 34°S3'33.45'''		Color 1 TCU)	ŝ	8	6	8	×	11	8	5	10	,	,	6	20	×	8	30	50	25		15	25	1
Well ID Latitude Longitude Depth of screen Sample 1436 08°04'37.60' 34°58'47.39' 15°25 (27) 18/04/2015 1436 08°04'30.60' 34°58'47.39' 15°25 (27) 18/04/2015 1436 08°04'10.02' 34°56'00.37' 15°20 (20) 20/04/2015 2635 08°00'39.47' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3808 08°01'01.01' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3808 08°01'01.01' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3808 08°01'01.01' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3808 08°01'01.01' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3804 08°01'45.80' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3804 08°01'45.80' 34°55'40.80' -(16) 28/04/2015 3804 08°01'45.80' 34°55'40.80' -(10) 20/04/2015 3804 08°01'45.80' 34°55'45'30' 3/04/2015 3/04/2015 3804 08°01	_	Hd ()	6.4	5.9	6.0	6.5	7.1	6.7	6.2	5.3	7.3	7.1	5.3	6.9	6.1	5.9	6.1	7.3	7.4	6.3	7.3	6.5	7.8	c
Well IDLatitudeLongitudeDepth of screen (Depth of well) (m)143608°04'37.69'34°58'47.39''15-25 (27)76908°04'37.69''34°58'47.39''15-20 (20)76108°04'37.69''34°55'00.37''-(26)76208°00'39.47''34°55'00.37''-(26)76308°01'01.01''34°55'40.80''-(16)76408°01'01.01''34°55'40.80''-(16)76508°02'33.58''34°55'21.99''-(16)76608°01'46.89'34°55'49.80''-(16)76708°01'46.89''34°55'49.48''20-28 (34)76808°01'46.89''34°55'49.48''20-30 (30)76908°01'46.89''34°55'32.15''-(16)77108°02'33.56''8''34°55'32.15''-(16)77108°07'42.40''34°55'32.15''-(16)77108°07'42.40''34°55'33.45''12-20 (20)77108°07'13.79''34°55'33.45''14-26 (27)77208°02'13.79''34°55'33.45'''14-26 (27)77308°02'13.79'''34°55'33.45'''14-26 (27)77408°02'13.79'''34°55'33.45''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''''	_	Sample Date	18/04/2015	20/04/2015	28/04/2015	27/04/2015	28/04/2015	27/04/2015	30/04/2015	24/03/2015	19/03/2015	18/03/2015	30/04/2015	27/04/2015	10/04/2015	16/04/2015	27/04/2015	13/03/2015	09/03/2015	09/03/2015	13/03/2015	05/03/2015	17/03/2015	
Well ID Latitude Longitude 1436 08°04'37.69" 34°58'47.39" 769 08°04'37.69" 34°58'47.39" 769 08°04'10.02" 34°55'00.37" 2635 08°00'39.47" 34°55'00.37" 2635 08°00'39.44" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'40.80" 3808 08°01'01.01" 34°55'43.33" 1157 08°07'42.40" 34°55'33.45" 1157 08°03'15.74" 34°55'33.45" 128 08°03'15.74" 34°55'33.13" 32538 08°05'15.74" 34°55'33.13" 32538 08°02'15.74" 34°55'49.50" 32538 08°02'15.74" 34°55'49.50" 32538 08°05'15.74" 34°55'49.50" 32538 08°02'15.74" 34°55'49.50" 32538)	Depth of screen Depth of well) (m)	15-25 (27)	- (26)	15-20 (20)	4-8 (8)	- (16)	- (20)	12-20 (20)	20-28 (34)	- (18)	- (10)	20-30 (30)	15-25 (27)	14-26 (27)	12-18 (18)	- (16)	- (()	- (17)	20-23; 25-28 (30)	14-20 (24)	- (17)	9 -10 (10)	
Well ID Latitude 1436 08°04'37.69" 769 08°04'10.02" 4206 08°02'09.34" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'01.01" 3808 08°01'45.80" 3808 08°01'45.60" 3804 08°03'56.98" 11447 08°03'15.74" 4403 08°03'15.74" 3538 08°02'315.74" 3538 08°02'35.698" 3544 08°03'15.74" 3253 08°02'35.698" 3364 08°03'15.74" 4218 08°02'15.74" 3538 08°02'15.74" 3538 08°02'15.74" 3253 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.74" 3423 08°02'15.70" 3424 08°03'50.70" 3460 08°03'50.60" 3460 08°03'50.70" 3460 08°	-	Longitude (1	34°58'47.39"	34°59'07.04"	34°56'00.37"	34°53'29.34"	34°55'40.80"	34°53'21.99"	34°54'52.33"	34°57'49.48"	34°53'32.32"	34°52'52.15"	34°58'48.80"	34°53'38.45"	34°56'58.43"	34°54'33.18"	34°53'31.18"	34°55'49.50"	34°56'27.11"	34°56'19.54"	34°53'25.53"	34°25'10.26"	34°53'41.10"	100 001020FC
Well ID 1436 769 4206 2635 3808 4403 1157 1157 1487 3364 4403 3364 4403 3358 3358 33538 33538 33538 33538 33538 33538 33538 3364 1094 4044 807 807 807 807 807 807 807 807 807 807		Latitude	08°04'37.69"	08°04'10.02"	08°00'39.47"	08°02'09.34"	08°01'01.01"	08°02'33.58"	08°07'42.40"	08°10'18.58"	08°01'46.89"	08°03'56.98"	08°0426.60"	08°03'15.74"	08°05'13.79"	08°02'32.85"	08°02'26.90"	08°03'50.70"	08°02'18.36"	08°02'18.82"	08°03'29.00"	08°06'26.60"	08°03'53.80"	100 01120000
		Well ID	1436	769	4206	2635	3808	4403	1157	1446	3364	ND5-	1487	3984	4218	3538	3423	ND7-	4507	1094	4044	-6QN	3460	

Table 1 - Physico-Chemical parameter of groundwater samples from the Boa Viagem aquifer.

1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2										Percei	ntiles			% of
Variables	z	Mean	Median	Mode	Standard deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Minimum	Maximum	25	75	wно (1996. 2011)	BMH	sample exceeding limit
Hq	23	6.6	6.5	7.3	0.7	-0.1	-0.8	5.3	7.8	6.1	7.3	6-8.5	6-9.5	17.4
Color	20	14.0	9.0	8.0	11.3	2.0	4.6	3.0	50.0	8.0	18.8	15	15	25.0
Turb.	21	14.7	4.0	3.0	24.9	2.6	6.5	0.2	99.3	3.0	11.2	5	5	28.6
EC	23	703.1	370.0	370.0	824.3	3.5	14.4	179.2	4128.0	262.3	923.7	1500	·	4.3
TDS	23	413.3	244.0	610.0	473.1	3.4	13.9	98.0	2363.0	155.4	526.0	1000	1000	4.3
Ca^{2+}	23	25.7	19.7	4.80^{a}	21.2	1.2	0.6	3.2	76.8	6.6	33.6	200	·	,
$Mg^{2\scriptscriptstyle +}$	23	9.4	2.4	1.0^{a}	13.8	2.5	6.0	1.0	54.7	1.5	12.2	150	ı	·
Na^+	23	95.8	46.0	46.0	149.2	3.9	16.9	18.2	736.0	36.8	100.3	200	200	8.7
$\mathbf{K}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	23	9.1	7.8	7.8	5.3	1.5	2.5	2.3	23.8	5.5	11.7	30	ı	·
CI-	23	137.1	55.0	21.3 ^a	222.5	3.7	15.5	21.3	1075.0	41.0	130.0	250	250	13.0
SO_4^{2-}	23	32.5	8.9	0.1	49.1	2.1	4.8	0.1	196.9	0.1	53.4	250	250	ı
HCO_{3}^{-}	23	89.6	61.0	61.0	78.7	1.3	0.7	2.9	271.1	26.9	107.1	300	ı	·
NO_3^-	23	12.7	2.2	0.4	42.7	4.7	22.4	0.1	207.6	0.4	5.5	50	42.6	4.3
ΗT	23	102.8	64.0	49.38 ^a	84.5	1.3	1.6	16.1	344.9	44.2	150.0	500	500	ı
Ч	6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	-2.0	0.2	0.9	0.3	0.8	1.5	1.5	ı
Ba^{2+}	6	0.2	0.2	.056 ^a	0.2	1.2	1.8	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.7	ı
Fe	22	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.8	2.1	3.7	0.0	2.7	0.1	0.6	0.3	0.3	27.3
pH (standa N: number	rrd uni of sar	ts); Col nples; E	or (TCU 3MH: Br); Turbic azilian M	lity (NTU); Ainistry of	specific co Health.	onductance	e (µSiemer	is/cm); and	other ele	ments (n	ng/L). a: small	lest mod	e value;

Component 2 is defined as the weathering component due to the reactions between the acidic H₂CO₃ and minerals triggered when the rain containing $CO_2^{2^-}$ in equilibrium contacts the ground, which consumes the acid portion (H⁺) leaving the HCO₃⁻ in solution. Na– (bi)carbonate waters are usually the product of long-term water-rock interaction with dissolution of carbonates (*e.g.* VENTURELLI *et al.*, 2003). However, silicate minerals (such as feldspars, micas, amphiboles, and pyroxenes) by groundwater H₂CO₃ also produces major cations (such as Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺) and HCO₃⁻ that could be explained using a generic equation (Eq. (1)) or by hydrolysis reactions of these minerals (*e.g.* Eq. (2): VENTURELLI *et al.*, 2003; CARTWRIGHT; WEAVER 2004; NOSHADI; GHAFOURIAN, 2016; MUK-HERJEE; SINGH, 2020 to cite few). This is in accordance with unpublished data, which indicate the predominance of quartz, feldspars, micas, and phyllosilicates.

(Cations)silicates + $H_2CO_3 \rightarrow H_4SiO_4 + HCO_3^-$ + cations + solid products (usually clay minerals) (1) 2NaAlSi₃O₈ + 9H₂O + H₂CO₃ \rightarrow Al₂Si₂O₅(OH)₄ + 2Na + 2HCO₃⁻ + 4H₄SiO₄ (2)



Box plots of the main physico-chemical parameters of groundwater labeled by clusters. The blue box represents the lower and upper quartiles with the median in middle. The circle indicates 1.5 x interquartile range (IQR) (outliers), and whiskers indicate 3 x IQR.

Component regarded 3 is as the "contamination and seawater intrusion/sulfate dissolution" factor because of its highly positive loading on NO₃⁻ and moderate ones on Ca²⁺ and SO₄²⁻. Nitrate can reach groundwater as a consequence of agricultural activity (e.g. LADESMA-RUIZ et al., 2015). However, disposal oxidation wastewater and of nitrogenous waste products in human and animal excreta, including septic tanks are possible sources to NO₃⁻, recurrent in densely populated areas (e.g. NOSHADI; GHAFOU-

RIAN, 2016; SINGH *et al.*, 2017). It is probably the case of Recife, where its precarious public sanitary system covers only 35.2% of the wastewater collected (KRONEMBERGER, 2013). An increase in SO_4^{2-} only occurs in cluster 2 and is probably attributed to couple seawater intrusion, which mainly increases Cl⁻, SO_4^{2-} , Na⁺ as observed in experimental works, and sulfate dissolution which could be identified from obtained saturation indices below.



Spatial distribution of selected water quality parameters.

Table 3 - Mean water ch	emistry of cluster and	sub-clusters as de	etermined from (O-mode HCA
Table J - Mean Water Ch		Sub-clusiels as u	etermined nom	3-IIIOUE IIOA

Cluster/	No of	pН	EC	TDS	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	Na ⁺	K^+	Cl-	SO42-	HCO3 ⁻	NO ₃ -
subclusters	samples		(µS/cm)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
1 combined	11	6.35	276.74	161.64	10.65	3.07	34.92	7.21	43.91	3.23	54.78	3.80
1 ^a	7	6.40	298.57	184.91	12.34	1.57	42.71	7.82	47.07	0.07	70.03	0.95
1b	4	6.25	238.53	120.90	7.68	5.70	21.28	6.15	38.38	8.75	28.10	8.80
2	4	6.25	512.50	299.63	50.40	1.38	42.55	7.81	56.20	80.61	54.65	4.04
3 combined	8	6.60	1191.46	679.36	48.54	16.60	160.70	13.15	254.61	87.62	76.65	32.19
3ª	5	6.96	957.60	594.40	26.86	13.20	138.74	7.72	177.50	19.64	184.16	5.04
3b	3	7.07	2096.73	1185.67	46.07	36.90	318.23	20.27	519.17	96.97	106.00	69.72



Figure 4

Dendrogram of Q-mode HCA for the groundwater samples and the median concentration Stiff plot of each cluster/sub-clusters. The dashed line represents a "phenon line".



Map showing the spatial distribution of the statistically defined cluster/sub-clusters in the study area.

Table 4 - Loadings, eigenvalue, and variance of the variables on the first three rotated PCs for whole data set.

Parameters	Pri	ncipal compone	ents
	PC1	PC2	PC3
pH	0.178	0.755	0.214
EC	0.835	0.407	0.339
TDS	0.799	0.487	0.316
Ca^{2+}	0.242	0.505	0.674
Mg^{2+}	0.738	0.155	0.191
Na ⁺	0.843	0.440	0.118
\mathbf{K}^+	0.807	-0.258	0.024
Cl-	0.946	0.192	0.158
SO4 ²⁻	0.368	0.157	0.747
HCO ₃ ⁻	0.138	0.841	-0.322
NO ₃ ⁻	0.046	-0.302	0.798
Eigenvalue	4.383	2.372	2.089
Cumulative eigenvalue	4.383	6.755	8.844
% of variance	39.849	21.565	18.994
Cumulative % of variance	39.849	61.414	80.409

Significant loadings in **bold**.



Figure 6 Spatial distribution of principal component scores for **a** PC1, **b** PC2, and **c** PC2.

4.4 HYDROGEOCHEMICAL PROCESSES: FURTHER INSIGHTS USING CLASSIC GEOCHEMICAL METHODS

The hydrogeochemical processes and major aquifer mechanisms were further identified using the geochemical samples in several

4.4.1 SEAWATER INTRUSION OR FRESHENING

The Recife coastal plain was subject to sealevel variations (*e.g.* SUGUIO *et al.*, 2013) favoring past marine transgressions/regressions that produce intrusion and subsequent freshening phases, which has enhanced salinity on the Boa Viagem aquifer, as the ones experienced by many flat topographic areas classical diagrams and ionic ratios plots, which are discussed below.

around the world (*e.g.* KIM *et al.*, 2017; LARSEN *et al.*, 2017 to cite few).

In coastal aquifers that were impacted by seawater intrusion and/or freshening, geochemical reactions occur during the replacement of freshwater with seawater or vice-versa, resulting in a distinguishing chemical signature that can indicate intrusion/freshening phases. Hydrochemical Facies Evolution Diagram (HFE-D) approach was first developed in an alluvial aquifer of Vinaroz plain, Spain (Giménez-Forcada 2010, 2014), and has been proved a reliable diagram to identified intrusion/freshening phases (e.g. LE *et al.*, 2020; PRUSTY *et al.*, 2020), indicating the status of the aquifer in terms of advance or regression of the saline waters. Using the HFE-D, the data indicates that the coastal Boa Viagem aquifer is experiencing a freshening phase, although the majority of samples belonging to cluster 2 are situated in the intrusion phase (Fig. 7). Besides, during freshening, a process of direct exchange reactions takes place, and this process is further discussed below.



Hydrochemical facies evolution diagram for groundwater samples. Symbols as in Figure 5

4.4.2 CATION EXCHANGE

To investigate if ionic exchange was in partly responsible for the concentrations of the main cations, a plot of $(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}) - (HCO_3^- + SO_4^{2-})$ vs. Na⁺ + K⁺ - Cl⁻ was done, which would fit groundwaters in a line with a slope near -1. The studied groundwater clusters fitted rather well in a line with a slope near -1 (y = -0.9x + 0.21; R² = 0.98), which implies that Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Na⁺ interact as a result of cationic exchange processes (Fig. 8a) (e.g. FISHER; MULICAN, 1997; ZAIDI *et al.*, 2015; ARGAMASILLA *et al.*, 2017). Cation exchange is an important process occurring at the mineral surfaces that proceeds with a sequence during the progressive freshening, which is probably facilitated due to the high clayey fraction in the aquifers lithologies (*e.g.* APPELO; POSTMA, 2005; CLOUTIER *et al.*, 2010; ARGAMASILLA *et al.*, 2017; KIM *et al.*, 2017; and references therein). Direct cation exchange is represented by the displacement of Na⁺ at mineral surfaces or Na⁺ may also come from rich-Na⁺ fossil waters trapped in fine-grain sediments by other cations, such as Ca and Mg ions in the solution as below:

$$\frac{1}{2}Ca_2 + Na - X \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}Ca - X_2 + Na$$
 (3)

Cluster 2 samples show a scatter trend and plot near the origin suggesting a minor influence of exchange process (MCLEAN *et al.*, 2000). The phenomenon of ion exchange in the study area was further investigated by chloro-alkaline indices. Chloro-alkaline indices (CAI) were calculated using the following equations:

$$CAI-1 = [rCl^{-} - r(Na^{+} + K^{+})]/rCl \quad (4)$$

CAI-2 = [rCl^{-} - r(Na^{+} + K^{+})]/[rSO_{4}^{2-} + rHCO_{3}^{-} + rNO_{3}^{-}] \quad (5)

Fig. 8b shows that most of the samples indicate negative values for both indices, suggesting

cation exchange in the system (*e.g.* ZAIDI *et al.*, 2015).



Bivariate diagram of a Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ − HCO₃⁻ − SO₄²⁻ vs. Na⁺ + K⁺ − Cl⁻, and b CAI-1 vs. CAI-2. Symbols as in Fig. 5.

4.4.3 MECHANISMS CONTROLLING GROUNDWATER AND THEIR TYPES

(1970) Gibbs to assess the major mechanisms acting as sources of dissolved chemical constituents in surface water (such as precipitation, rock, and evaporation dominance) propose a powerful diagram to identified between these mechanisms. As surficial waters are, at least, in part originated from groundwaters flow (e.g. JASECHKO et al., 2016); the Na/(Ca+Na) vs. TDS diagram has been used by analogy to tracer groundwater processes. Although the insightful information provided, many other hydrochemical processes could imprint/superimpose a chemical signature on groundwaters due to their long-term evolution (Marandi and Shand 2018). The chemical data from the clusters were plotted in the Gibbs diagram and show that groundwater samples from them represent the influence of chemical weathering for rock-forming minerals [Na/(Na+Ca) values in the range of 0.1 to 0.9; Fig. 9a]. The samples dominantly plot on the right side of this diagram, which further suggest travels through the flow path of precipitation from the soil and aquifer formations that triggered mainly silicate water-rock interactions (see Marandi and Shand 2018).

The Piper diagram presents the groundwater samples by cluster/sub-clusters (Fig 9b). Cluster 1a presents Na^+ -HCO₃⁻ to Na^+ -Cl⁻ water type and cluster 1b has a Na^+ -Cl⁻ type with the lowest TDS values. Cluster 2 has a well-defined Ca²⁺-SO₄²⁻(Cl⁻) type. Clusters 3a and 3b have Na^+ -Cl⁻ facies presenting the highest TDS values that occur in southwestern of Recife.



a) Na/(Ca + Na) vs. TDS diagram illustrating the relative effects of the major processes on groundwater hydrochemistry
 b) Piper diagram of groundwater samples labeled according to their groundwater clusters. Symbols as in Fig. 5.

4.4.4 SILICATE WEATHERING

Silicate is one of the main lithologies undergoing high chemical weathering, which contributes to the dissolved load in waters (e.g. GAILLARDET et al., 1997). As such, silicate weathering constitutes a key geochemical process controlling water chemistry. The ratios between Na⁺, and Cl⁻ and total cations (T_z^+) were done to estimate weather silicate weathering plays a role. Na⁺/Cl⁻ ratios of all clusters, except 3b, plot above the equiline 1:1 (Fig. 10a). This higher ratio suggests an excess of Na+ released from silicate weathering (STALLARD; EDMOND 1983; MEYBECK 1987; CARTWRIGHT; WEAVER 2005). On the plot of Na^+ and total cations (TZ^+) , groundwater samples from the sub-cluster 1a and 3a plot above the 1:2 line, indicating an abundance of silicate weathering. Similarly, sub-cluster 1b, 2, and 3b fall between 1:3 and 1:2 indicating some silicate weathering (Fig. 10b). Ca^{2+}/Cl^{-} , $K+/Cl^{-}$, Mg^{2+}/Cl^{-} , and HCO_3^{-}/Cl^{-} (not shown) present ratios higher than the marine one suggesting that weathering of alumino-silicate minerals (feldspars, micas, and amphiboles) contribute to the high concentration of these ions.

In scatter plots of $(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})$ vs. (HCO_3^{-}) + SO₄^{2–}), sub-clusters 1b and 3b fall above the equiline indicating an excess of $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$ as well as a potential for the release of these elements by silicate weathering (Fig. 10c). Otherwise, sub-clusters 1a and 3a groundwater samples plotted below the 1:1 line, indicating that the excess of $HCO_3^- + SO_4^{2^-}$ must be balanced by Na⁺ and suggests silicate weathering of $Na^+ + K^+$ or ion exchange process taking place with $Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}$ (e.g. Maurya et al., 2019). Cluster 2 samples fall along the equiline suggesting that dolomite, calcite, or gypsum dissolution process play a role (e.g. CERLING et al., 1989; FISHER; MULLICAN 1997; LADESMA-RUIZ et al., 2015; ZAIDI et al., 2015). The plot of Ca²⁺ versus SO_4^{2-} and HCO_3^- (not shown) suggests that minor carbonates and sulfates dissolution may contribute to groundwater from cluster 2; since dolomite and calcite normally plot on an equivalent ratio between 1:4 and 1:2, between dissolved Ca²⁺ and HCO₃⁻, and plot in the equiline between Ca^{2+} and $SO_4^{\overline{2-}}$. Sulfate dissolution is probably more influential to this cluster 2 as further evidenced bellow.



Binary plots in milliequivalent for: **a** Na vs. Cl, **b** Na⁺ vs. total cations (TZ⁺), and **c** Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ vs. HCO₃⁻ + SO₄²⁻ from the groundwater separated by cluster/sub-clusters. Symbols as in Fig. 5.

4.4.5 SATURATION INDICES

Saturation indices (SI) are important to determine the reactivity of minerals in groundwater. A positive value of SI for a particular mineral indicates oversaturation and thus precipitation could occur otherwise a negative value means undersaturation and mineral dissolution is possible to take place. The groundwater samples from all cluster/sub-clusters have halite saturation index very low (\leq -4.75), which indicates that the dissolution of halite, if any occurs in the aquifers, is of negligible importance (Fig. 11). Gypsum and anhydrite saturation indices for all samples, -1.51 and -1.75, respectively, indicate

4.4.6 GROUNDWATER FLOW PATH

In a geographical sense, the three groundwater clusters are well-separated as we can see in Fig. 5, suggesting that the samples that belong to the same cluster generally have similar chemical properties due to similar underlying processes and/or groundwater flow paths. Fig. 5 shows that the hydrochemical evolution occurs from cluster 1 (C1) \rightarrow cluster 2 (C2) \rightarrow cluster 3 (C3) in W-E direction, which agrees with poorly known general groundwater flow path in the study area (Fig. 1). At the West, the higher topographic areas favored recharge, where igneous basement rocks outcrops and constitutes one of the recharge pools of the system. The groundwater chemistry in the area varies from Na⁺-HCO₃⁻ to Na⁺-Cl⁻- water type (C1) with lower TDS

undersaturation concerning these minerals arguing against sulfate precipitation with the higher values found in cluster 2. Apart from seawater intrusion, gypsum and anhydrite dissolution might contribute SO_4^{2-} for cluster 2 that have the highest SI. For calcite, dolomite, and aragonite the SI indicates that most of the samples are undersaturated, except for few samples from sub-clusters 3a and 3b that are near equilibrium. Undersaturation of almost all minerals indicates that groundwater had not enough residence time to reach up to equilibrium and that dissolution is probably taking place.

(~160 mg/L) in the West to $Ca^{2+}-SO_4^{2-}(Cl^{-})$ water type (C2) with higher TDS (\sim 300 mg/L) than C1 to Na^+ – Cl^- water type (C3) with highest TDS (~700 mg/L) in the East. The infiltration water that progress is compatible with freshening (decreasing Cl⁻ from C3 to C1; Table 3) and ion exchange processes with dissolution of silicates and minor sulfate/carbonate. Silicate dissolution becoming a dominant process toward transitional to discharge areas during the water-rock evolution groundwater. Summarizing, of the concentration of TDS increase from the West to the East, and the groundwater chemical type changes from Na⁺–Cl⁻–HCO₃⁻ to Na⁺–Cl⁻ type, which indicates hydrogeochemical evolution along the groundwater flow path.



Saturation indices of groundwater for the selected mineral phases. Symbols as in Fig. 5.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a combined hydrochemical conventional approach along with multivariate statistical analyses and GIS mapping is used to understand the mineralization quality status and geochemical processes occurring in the unconfined marine to alluvial Boa Viagem coastal aquifer. Hydrochemical results show that do not only geogenic processes affected the Recife municipality, but anthropogenic inputs were recognized. ~ 30% of the analyzed

parameters exceed the WHO threshold for drinking water quality. Conversely, relatively high NO_3^- concentration occurs in the study area, but only one sample showed the presence of nitrate above 50 mg/L. Q-mode HCA allowed the recognition of three distinct clusters and five sub-clusters (1a, 1b, 2, 3a, 3b). Cluster 1 presents a diluted Na⁺-HCO₃⁻ to Na⁺-Cl⁻ type (avg. TDS = 162 mg/L; next to precipitation dominance), cluster 2 shows Ca²⁺-SO₄²⁻ type

(avg. TDS = 300 mg/ L), and cluster 3 presents a mineralized Na⁺–Cl⁻ type (avg. TDS = 680) mg/L). Three principal components (PC1–PC3) explained 80.40% of the variance of the original data set. PC1 and PC2 (39.85% and 21.56% of the variance, respectively) assigned to 'geogenic', and PC3 to 'anthropogenic and geogenic' processes. PC1 suggests freshening and direct cation exchange processes when flushing of inherited saline waters from seawater intrusion of the latest marine transgression takes place. PC2 indicates the chemical interaction between groundwater and soil and rocks. PC3 is probably related to leakage from a deficient sewage/drainage network and from many septic tanks since Recife is a densely built area with deficient public sewage system, which requires investments and improvements in basic sanitation to avoid further contamination. Several conventional diagrams (Stiff, Gibbs, Piper, HFE-D), scatter plots between the ions, and saturation indices also evidence about the processes such as freshening, cation-exchange, silicate and sulfate weathering which reinforces the information highlighted from statistical methods. Our study emphasizes that the

6 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was carried out within the water sustainability project under loan agreement N° 7778-BR through the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD). We thank the director Maria Lorenzza Leite from the Water and Climate Agency of Pernambuco (APAC) for her permission to publish this manuscript. However, the opinions expressed

7 REFERENCES

- ALLAN J.A. Virtual Water: A Strategic Resource. Global Solutions to Regional Deficits. **Ground Water**, 36:545–546, 1998. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.1998.tb028 25.x
- ALMEIDA J.B.; BARROS J.F.; MESQUITA B.A.; SILVA C.M.S.V.; FILHO J.M.; FRISCHKORN H.; SANTIAGO M.M.F. Cluster analysis and geochemical processes for the characterization of the aquifer system in Maracanã/São Luis/MA.
 Brazilian Journal of Water Resources, 21:832–840, 2016 http://doi.org/10.1590/2318-0331.011615182
- APHA, AWWA, WEF, 2012. Standard Methods for examination of water and wastewater. 22nd ed.Washington: American Public Health Association, 1360 pp.

combination of multivariate statistical analyses (hierarchical cluster and principal component analyses) with classical geochemical methods could be used to understand long-term hydrogeochemical processes, which is necessary recognize to effective management actions. In addition, this regional analysis is relevant for the development management policies. Land use planning policies must contemplate the maintenance of natural recharge areas as fundamental for the good management of water resources (including also surface water), either through municipal or regional plans that transpose national action guidelines. It should also be noted that overexploitation of aquifers, particularly in coastal regions, will intensify the problem of advancing saltwater intrusion, affecting underground reserves. Projects such as those that have been developed by water and sanitation regulators in the metropolitan region of Recife and the state of Pernambuco are serving as a basis for, in addition to increasing knowledge about water resources, establishing and implementing criteria for management and protection of groundwater.

here are solely our responsibility and do not necessarily reflect those of the APAC authorities. Dr. M. Oliveira is thanked for proofreading and review the text. We are grateful to Prof. Jorge de Lena, Editor-in-Chief, for his editorial work, and to one anonymous reviewer for comments.

- APPELO C.A.J.; POSTMA D. Geochemistry, Groundwater and Pollution. A.A. Balkema Publishers. Leiden The Netherlands. 2nd Ed. 634 pp. 2005
- ARGAMASILLA M.; BARBERÁ J.A.; ANDREO B. Factors controlling groundwater salinization and hydrogeochemical processes in coastal aquifers from southern Spain. Science of the Total Environment, 580:50–68, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.173
- BIANCHINI G.; PENNISI M.; CIONI R.; MUTI A.; CERBAI N.; KLOPPMANN W. Hydrochemistry of the high-boron groundwaters of the Cornia aquifer (Tuscany, Italy).
 Geothermics, 34:297–319, 2005 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2005.04.002

- BORETTI A.; ROSA L. Reassessing the projections of the World Water Development Report. **Clean Water**, 2:15, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41545-019-0039-9
- BRAZILIAN NAVY, Tide Tables for Brazilian Ports. Available at https://www.marinha. mil.br/chm/tabuas-de-mare 2020
- CARTWRIGHT I.; WEAVER T.R. Hydrogeochemistry of the Goulburn Valley region of the Murray Basin, Australia: implications for flow paths and resource vulnerability. **Hydrogeology Journal**, 13:752–770, 2005 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-003-0318-9
- CARTWRIGHT I.; WEAVER T.; Petrides B. Controls on ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of groundwater in silicate dominated aquifers: SE Murray Basin, Australia. **Chemical Geology**, 246:107–123, 2008

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.09.006

- CERLING T.E.; PEDERSON B.L.; VON DAMN K.L. Sodium-calcium ion exchange in the weathering of shales: Implications for global weathering budgets. **Geology**, 17:552–554, 1989 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1989)017<0552:SCIEIT>2.3.CO;2
- CLOUTIER V.; LEFEBVRE R.; SAVARD M.M.; THERRIEN R. Desalination of a sedimentary rock aquifer system invaded by Pleistocene Champlain Sea water and processes controlling groundwater geochemistry. **Environmental Earth Sciences**, 59:977–994, 2010
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-009-0091-8 CLOUTIER V.; LEFEBVRE R.; THERRIEN R.; SAVARD M.M. Multivariate statistical analysis of geochemical data as indicative of the hydrogeochemical evolution of groundwater in a sedimentary rock aquifer system. **Journal of Hydrology**, 353:294–313, 2008

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.02.015

- COSTA W.D.; SANTOS A.C.; COSTA FILHO W.D. O Controle Estrutural na Formação dos Aquíferos na Planície do Recife. In: 8° Congresso Brasileiro de Águas Subterrâneas. 1994 Recife, PE. **Anais...** 38–43. Available at https://aguassubterraneas.abas.org/asubterraneas /article/view/24353
- COSTA W.D.; MANOEL FILHO J.; SANTOS A.C.; MONTEIRO A.B.; SOUZA F.A.S.; LOPES A.V.G.; SANTOS A.J.C. Estudo hidrogeológico da região metropolitana do Recife. – Projeto HIDROREC I. Convênio IDRC. UFPE/FADE. Recife 1998. 2V
- COSTA W.D.; COSTA H.F.; FERREIRA C.A.; MORAIS J.F.S.; VILLA VERDE E.R.; COSTA L.B.; COSTA FILHO W.D. 2002. Estudo hidrogeológico de Recife, Olinda, Camaragibe, Jaboatão dos Guararapes. Projeto HIDROREC II. 2. SRH/PE. Recife 2002. 2V
- CRUZ-FUENTES T.; CABRERA M.C.; HEREDIA J.; CUSTODIO E. Groundwater salinity and hydrochemical processes in the volcanosedimentary aquifer of La Aldea, Gran Canaria,

Canary Islands, Spain. Science of the Total Environment, 484:154–166, 2014 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.041

- CUSTODIO E. Coastal aquifers of Europe: an overview. **Hydrogeology Journal**, 18:269–280, 2010 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-009-0496-1
- DOMINGUEZ J.M.A.; BITTENCOURT A.C.S.P.; LEÃO Z.M.A.N.; AZEVEDO A.E.G. Geologia do Quaternário costeiro do Estado de Pernambuco. **Revista Brasileira de Geociências**, 20:208–215, 1990 https://doi.org/10.25249/0375-7536.1990208215
- EPA Method 6010C (SW-846): Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry, Revision 3:1–34, 2007
- FISHER R.S.; MULLICAN W.F. Hydrochemical evolution of sodium-sulfate and sodium-chloride groundwater beneath the Northern Chihuahuan Desert, Trans-Pecos, Texas, USA. **Hydrogeology Journal**, 5:4–16, 1997 https://doi.org/10.1007/s100400050102
- FREEZE R.A.; CHERRY J.A. Groundwater. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey 07632, 604 pp, 1997
- GAILLARDET J.; DUPRE B.; ALLEGRE C.J.; NEGREL P. Chemical and physical denudation in the Amazon River Basin. **Chemical Geology**, 142:141–173, 1997

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2541(97)00074-0

- GIBBS R.J. Mechanisms Controlling World Water Chemistry. Science, 70:1088–1090, 1970 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.170.3962.1088
- GIMÉNEZ-FORCADA E. Dynamic of seawater interface using hydrochemical facies evolution diagram. Ground Water, 48:212–216, 2010 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2009.00649.x.
- GIMÉNEZ-FORCADA E. Space/time development of seawater intrusion, a study casein Vinaroz coastal plain (Eastern Spain) using HFEdiagram, and spatial distribution of hydrochemical facies. Journal of Hydrology, 517:617–627, 2014

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.056.

- GÜLER C.; KURT M.A.; ALPASLAN M.; AKBULUT C. Assessment of the impact of anthropogenic activities on the groundwater hydrology and chemistry in Tarsus coastal plain (Mersin, SE Turkey) using fuzzy clustering, multivariate statistics and GIS techniques. Journal of Hydrology, 414–415:435–451, 2012 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.11.021
- GÜLER C.; THYNE G.D.. Hydrologic and geologic factors controlling surface and groundwater chemistry in Indian Wells–Owens valley area, southeastern California, USA. Journal of Hydrology, 285:177–198, 2004

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.08.019

GÜLER C.; THYNE G.D.; MCCRAY J.E.; TURNER A.K. Evaluation of graphical and multivariate statistical methods for classification of water chemistry data. **Hydrogeology Journal**, 10:455–474, 2002

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-002-0196-6

- HAN D.; KOHFAHL C.; SONG X.; XIAO G.; YANG J. Geochemical and isotopic evidence for palaeo-seawater intrusion into the south coast aquifer of Laizhou Bay, China. **Applied Geochemistry**, 26:863–883, 2011
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.02.007 HELENA B.; PARDO B.; VEGA M.; BARRADO E.; FERNANDEZ J.M.; FERNANDEZ L. Temporal evolution of groundwater composition in an alluvial aquifer (Pisuerga River, Spain) by principal component analysis. **Water Research**, 34:807–816, 2000

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00225-0 JASECHKO S.; BIRKS S.J.; GLEESON T.; WADA

Y.; FAWCETT P.J.; SHARP Z.D.; MCDONNELL J.J.; WELKER J.M. The pronounced seasonality of global groundwater recharge, **Water Resources Research**, 50:8845– 8867, 2014

https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR015809

- JASECHKO S.; KIRCHNER J.W.; WELKER J.M.; MCDONNELL J.J. Substantial proportion of global streamflow less than three months old. Nature Geoscience, 9:126–129, 2016 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2636
- JOLLIFFE I.T.; CADIMA G. Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A, 374:20150202, 2016 http://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202
- KAZAKIS N.; MATTAS C.; PAVLOU A.; PATRIKAKI O.; VOUDOURIS K. Multivariate statistical analysis for the assessment of groundwater quality under different hydrogeological regimes. Environmental Earth Sciences, 76:349, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-6665-y
- KIM J.-H.; KIM K.-H.; THAO N.T.; BATSAIKHAN B.; YUN S.-T. Hydrochemical assessment of freshening saline groundwater using multiple end-members mixing modeling: A study of Red River delta aquifer, Vietnam. Journal of Hydrology, 549:703–714, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.04.040
- KRONEMBERGER D. Análise dos impactos na saúde e no sistema único de saúde decorrentes de agravos relacionados a um esgotamento sanitário inadequado dos 100 maiores municípios brasileiros no Período 2008–2011. Trata Brasil, relatório final 74 pp, 2013
- LADESMA-RUIZ R.; PASTÉN-ZAPATA E.; PARRA R.; HARTER T.; MAHLKNECHT J. Investigation of the geochemical evolution of groundwater under agricultural land: A case study in northeastern Mexico. Journal of Hydrology, 521:410–423, 2015 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.12.026
- LARSEN F.; TRAN L.V.; VAN HOANG H.; TRAN L.T.; CHRISTIANSEN A.V.; PHAM N.Q. Groundwater salinity influenced by Holocene

seawater trapped in incised valleys in the Red River delta plain. **Nature Geoscience**, 10: 376– 381, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2938

LE T.T.V.; LERTSIRIVORAKUL R.; BUI T.V.; SCHULMEISTER M.K. An application of HFE-D for evaluating seawater intrusion in coastal aquifers of Southern Vietnam. **Groundwater** 58(6):1012-1022 2020

https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.13022

- LI X.; WU H.; QIAN H.; GAO Y. Groundwater chemistry regulated by hydrochemical processes and geological structures: a case study in Tongchuan, China. **Water**, 10:1–16, 2018 https://doi.org/10.3390/w10030338
- MARANDI A.; SHAND P. Groundwater chemistry and the Gibbs Diagram. **Applied Geochemistry**, 97:209–212, 2018

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2018.07.009

- MAURYA P.; KUMARI R.; MUKHERJEE. Hydrochemistry in integration with stable isotopes (δ18O and δD) to assess seawater intrusion in coastal aquifers of Kachchh district, Gujarat, India. Journal of Geochemical Exploration 196:42–56, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2018.09.013
- MCLEAN W.; JANKOWSKII J.; LAVITT N. Groundwater quality and sustainability in an alluvial aquifer, Australia, in: Sililo, O., et al. (Eds.), Groundwater, past achievements and future challenges. AA Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 567–573, 2000
- MENCIÓ A.; MAS-PLA J. Assessment by multivariate analysis of groundwater–surface water interactions in urbanized Mediterranean streams. **Journal of Hydrology**, 252:255–266, 2008

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.01.014

- MEYBECK M. Global chemistry weathering of surficial rocks estimated from river dissolved loads. American Journal of Sciences, 287:401– 428, 1987 https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.287.5.401
- H.; **MIRZAVAND** M.; GHASEMIEH SADATINEJAD S.J.; BAGHERI R. An mechanism overview on source, and investigation approaches in groundwater salinization studies. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, 17:2463-2476, 2020

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02647-7

- MONJEREZI M.; VOGT R.D.; AAGAARD P.; SAKA J.D.K. Hydro-geochemical processes in an area with saline groundwater in lower Shire River valley, Malawi: An integrated application of hierarchical cluster and principal component analyses. **Applied Geochemistry**, 26:1399– 1413, 2011 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2011.05.01
- MONTETY V.; RADAKOVITCH O.; VALLET-COULOMB C.; BLAVOUX B.; HERMITTE D.; VALLES V. Origin of groundwater salinity and hydrogeochemical processes in a confined coastal aquifer: Case of the Rhône delta

(Southern France). **Applied Geochemistry**, 23:2337–2349, 2008. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.apgeochem.2008.03.011

- MOYA C.E.; RAIBER M.; TAULIS M.; COX M.E. Hydrochemical evolution and groundwater flow processes in the Galilee and Eromanga basins, Great Artesian Basin, Australia: A multivariate statistical approach. **Science of The Total Environment**, 508:411–426, 2015 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.09
- MUKHERJEE I.; SINGH U.K. Fluoride abundance and their release mechanisms in groundwater along with associated human health risks in a geologically heterogeneous semiarid region of east India. **Microchemical Journal**, 152 :104304, 2020

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.104304

- NOSHADI M.; GHAFOURIAN A. Groundwater quality analysis using multivariate statistical techniques (case study: Fars province, Iran).
 Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 188:419, 2016 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5412-2
- PAIVA A.L.R. 2004. Modelagem computacional e análise da salinização dos aquíferos na área central de Recife. Master dissertation. Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, 147 pp, (in Portuguese with English abstract).
- PAIVA A.L.R.; MONTENEGRO S.M.G.L.; CABRAL J.J.S.P.; COUTINHO A.P.; SILVA S.C. Evolução da mancha urbana do Recife e seus efeitos na recarga do aquífero Boa Viagem. In: XXI Simpósio Brasileiro de Recursos Hídricos. Brasília, Anais... DF, 1–7, 2015
- PARKHURST D.L.; APPELO C.A.J. Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3: a computer program for speciation, batchreaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques and Methods, 6-A43, 497 pp, 2013

https://doi.org/10.3133/tm6A43

- PRUSTY P.; FAROOQ S.H.; SWAIN D.; CHANDRASEKHARAM D. Association of geomorphic features with groundwater quality and freshwater availability in coastal regions. International Journal of **Environmental Science and Technology**, 17:3313–3328, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-020-02706-z
- RAKOTONDRABE F.; NGOUPAYOU J.R.N.; MFONKA Z.; RASOLOMANANA E.H.; ABOLO A.J.N.; AKO A.A. Water quality assessment in the Bétaré-Oya gold mining area (East-Cameroon): Multivariate Statistical Analysis approach. Science of The Total Environment, 610–611:831–844, 2018 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.080
- SILVA T.R.; LEITÃO T.E.; LIMA M.M.C.; MARTINS T.N.; OLIVEIRA M.M.; ALBUQUERQUE M.S.C.; COSTA W.D., Hydrogeochemistry and isotope compositions of multi-layered aquifer systems in the Recife Metropolitan Region, Pernambuco (NE Brazil):

An integrated approach using multivariate statistical analyses. **Journal of South American Earth Sciences**, 109:103323, 2021 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2021.103323.

- SINGH K.P. MALIK A.; MOHAN D.; SINHA S. Multivariate statistical techniques for the evaluation of spatial and temporal variations in water quality of Gomti River (India) – a case study. **Water Research**, 38:3980–3992, 2004 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.06.011
- SINGH K.C.; KUMAR A.; SHASHTRI S.; KUMAR A.; KUMAR P.; MALLICK J. Multivariate statistical analysis and geochemical modeling for geochemical assessment of groundwater of Delhi, India. Journal of Geochemical Exploration, 175:59–71, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gexplo.2017.01.001
- STALLARD R.F.; EDMOND J.M. Geochemistry of Amazon: 2. The influence of geology and weathering environment on the dissolved load. Journal of Geophysical Research, 88:9671– 9688, 1983
- https://doi.org/10.1029/JC088iC14p09671. SUGUIO K.; BARRETO A.M.F.; OLIVEIRA P.E.; BEZERRA F.H.R.; VILELA M.C.S.H. Indicators of Holocene sea level changes along the coast of the states of Pernambuco and Paraíba, Brazil. **Geologia USP. Série Científica**, 13:141–152, 2013 https://doi.org/10.5327/Z1519 -874X201300040008
- THYNE G.; GÜLER C.; POETER E. Sequential Analysis of Hydrochemical Data for Watershed Characterization. **Groundwater**, 42:711–723, 2004 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6584.2004. tb02725.x
- UNESCO, 2015. The United Nations World Water Development Report 2015: Water for a sustainable world. Available at http://www.unesco.org/new/fileadmin/MULTI MEDIA/HQ/SC/images/WWDR2015Facts_Fig ures_ENG_web.pdf
- VENGOSH A.; ROSENTHAL E. Saline groundwater in Israel: its bearing on the water crisis in the country. **Journal of Hydrology**, 156:389 430, 1994 https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(94)90087-6
- VENTURELLI G.; BOSCHETT T.; DUCHI V. Nacarbonate waters of extreme composition: Possible origin and evolution. **Geochemical Journal**, 37:351–366, 2003

https://doi.org/10.2343/geochemj.37.351

- VISEU M.T.; RODRIGUES R.; OLIVEIRA M.M. Caracterização pluviométrica da Região Metropolitana do Recife. Laboratório Nacional de Engenharia Civil, relatório 45/2016, 76pp, 2016
- WHO, 2011. Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality Recommendations. fourth edition. 518 pp.
- WANG J.; LIANG X.; LIU Y.; JIN M.; KNAPPETT P.S.K.; LIU Y. Hydrogeochemical evolution along groundwater flow paths in the Manas River Basin, Northwest China. Groundwater, 57:575– 589, 2018 https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12829

ZAIDI F.K.; NAZZAL Y.; JAFRI M.K.; NAEEM M.; AHMED I. Reverse ion exchange as a major process controlling the groundwater chemistry in an arid environment: a case study from northwestern Saudi Arabia. **Environmental Monitoring and Assessment**, 187:607, 2015 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-015-4828-4